

A Logic-Based Approach to Admissibility

Jeff Helzner (Columbia)

September 17, 2009

Ordering

Myth: *The rational agent's choices are, up to picking, determined by a weak order on the space of alternatives.*

The assumption is held by well-known, normative rules such as the following:

- ▶ expected utility theories [Sav72]
- ▶ Γ -maximin [GS82, GS89]
- ▶ restricted Bayes-Hurwicz [Ell61]
- ▶ decision criteria for cases of “complete ignorance” [LR89]
 - ▶ maximin
 - ▶ pessimism-optimism

Note: The assumption is also held by descriptive theories such as prospect theory [KT79] and some versions of satisficing [Rub06].

Uncertainty

Myth: *Probability measures provide an adequate representation of credal states, at least in the case of rational agents.*

Doubts Indeterminate probabilities [Kyb68, Lev74].

Myth: *Decision making under uncertainty is reducible to decision making under risk through the introduction of subjective probabilities, at least in the case of rational agents.*

Doubts “Uncertainties that are not risks” [Ell61].

Examples of decision criteria that take uncertainty seriously:

- ▶ Γ -maximin
- ▶ restricted Bayes-Hurwicz
- ▶ E -admissibility [Lev74]

Decisions without ordering

In the case of Levi's decision theory, indeterminate probabilities lead to violations of the ordering assumption [Lev74, Sei88].

The ordering assumption has also been questioned in other contexts, e.g.:

- ▶ Social choice [Mou85]
- ▶ Value conflict [Lev86].
- ▶ Menu dependence [Sen02].
- ▶ Some forms of satisficing [Rub06].
- ▶ Attribute weighting [Hel09].

Choice functions

Set-valued choice functions provide a framework that is neutral with respect to ordering.

Alternatives : X is a nonempty set of alternatives.

Menus : $\mathcal{P}_\omega(X)$ is the set of all finite, nonempty subsets of X .

Admissibility : $C : \mathcal{P}_\omega(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\omega(X)$, where $C(Y) \subseteq Y$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{X}$.

Reduction to preference

Admissibility reduces to preference optimization just in case the following conditions are satisfied [Sen71]:

α : If $x \in Y \subseteq Z$ and $x \in C(Z)$, then $x \in C(Y)$.

β : If $x, y \in C(Y)$, $Y \subseteq Z$, and $y \in C(Z)$, then $x \in C(Z)$.

Some issues to consider

Dropping the ordering assumption marks a fundamental shift.

- ▶ What does *admissibility* mean when α or β fails to hold?
 - ▶ elicitation
 - ▶ testing descriptive theories
- ▶ Representation and calculation?
 - ▶ techniques from mathematics
 - ▶ techniques from logic

Syntax

Let Ω be a countable set of atoms. The *language* L (over Ω) is defined by the following inductive clauses:

Atoms $\Omega \subseteq L$

Negation If $\phi \in L$, then $\neg\phi \in L$

Conjunction If $\phi, \psi \in L$, then $(\phi \wedge \psi) \in L$

Admissibility If $\phi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in L$, then $A(\phi \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \in L$

Necessity If $\phi \in L$, then $\Box\phi \in L$

Semantics

A *frame* is a tuple $\langle W, R, \mathcal{X}, \{C_w\}_{w \in W} \rangle$ that satisfies the following requirements:

- F1 W is a nonempty set
- F2 R is a binary relation on W .
- F3 \mathcal{X} is a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{P}(W)$
- F4 C_w is a choice function on $\mathcal{P}_\omega(\mathcal{X}_w)$ for all $w \in W$, where

$$\mathcal{X}_w = \{Y \mid Y \neq \emptyset \text{ and } Y = S_w \cap Z \text{ for some } Z \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

and where, for all $w \in W$, $S_w = \{x \mid (w, x) \in R\}$.

Semantics

Frame conditions continued.

F5 \mathcal{X} is closed under the following operations:

- ▶ $U \mapsto W - U$
- ▶ $(U, V) \mapsto U \cap V$
- ▶ $U \mapsto \{w \mid S_w \subseteq U\}$
- ▶ $(U, V_1, \dots, V_n) \mapsto$

$$\{w \mid (S_w \cap U) \in C_w(\{S_w \cap V_1, \dots, S_w \cap V_n\})\}$$

Interpretations

An *interpretation* of L is a frame $\langle W, R, \mathcal{X}, \{C_w\}_{w \in W} \rangle$ along with a function π from Ω to \mathcal{X} . π is extended to a function π^* on L according to the following inductive clauses:

Atoms $\pi^*(\phi) = \pi(\phi)$

Negation $\pi^*(\neg\phi) = W - \pi^*(\phi)$

Conjunction $\pi^*(\phi \wedge \psi) = \pi^*(\phi) \cap \pi^*(\psi)$

Necessity $\pi^*(\Box\phi) = \{w \mid S_w \subseteq \pi^*(\phi)\}$

Admissibility $\pi^*(A(\phi \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)) =$

$$\{w \mid (S_w \cap \pi^*(\phi)) \in C_w(\{S_w \cap \pi^*(\psi_1), \dots, S_w \cap \pi^*(\psi_n)\})\}$$

Given an interpretation $\mathcal{I} = \langle W, R, \mathcal{X}, \{C_w\}_{w \in W}, \pi \rangle$, we write $(\mathcal{I}, w) \models \phi$ just in case $w \in \pi^*(\phi)$ and write $\mathcal{I} \models \phi$ just in case $(\mathcal{I}, w) \models \phi$ for all $w \in W$.

Basic Axioms

$$\mathbf{K} : (\Box\phi \wedge \Box(\phi \rightarrow \psi)) \rightarrow \Box\psi$$

$$\mathbf{C1} : A(\psi_1 \mid \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Diamond\psi_i$$

$$\mathbf{C2} : \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Diamond\psi_i \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n A(\psi_i \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$$

$$\mathbf{C3} : A(\phi \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n \Box(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi_i)$$

$$\mathbf{C4} : (\Box(\phi \leftrightarrow \phi') \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Box(\psi_i \leftrightarrow \psi'_i) \wedge A(\phi \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)) \rightarrow A(\phi' \mid \psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_n)$$

Additional Axioms

P : $\Diamond T$

$$\mathbf{C}_\alpha : (A(\phi \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \wedge \bigvee_{i=1}^m \Box(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi_i)) \rightarrow A(\phi \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_\beta : (A(\phi_1 \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m) \wedge A(\phi_2 \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m) \wedge A(\phi_1 \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)) \rightarrow A(\phi_2 \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$$

Basic Systems

Let \mathcal{L} be the system that has all tautologies in P_L as axioms and has the following inference rule:

$$\frac{\phi \quad \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi} \text{MP}$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the system that extends \mathcal{L} by adding all instances of **K**, **C1**, **C2**, **C3**, and **C4** as axioms and adds the following inference rule:

$$\frac{\phi}{\Box\phi} \text{Gen}$$

Additional Systems

\mathcal{C}_α : extends \mathcal{C} by adding all instances \mathbf{C}_α .

\mathcal{C}_β : extends \mathcal{C} by adding all instances \mathbf{C}_β .

$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$: extends \mathcal{C} by adding all instances \mathbf{C}_α and all instances of \mathbf{C}_β .

Finally, if \mathcal{S} is a system, then let \mathcal{S}^+ be the system that extends \mathcal{S} by adding \mathbf{P} .

Soundness and Completeness

$\mathcal{S} \vdash \phi$ iff $\mathcal{I} \models \phi$ for all $\mathcal{I} \in I$

\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{I}
\mathcal{C}	No constraints
\mathcal{C}^+	$S_w \neq \emptyset$
\mathcal{C}_α	C_w satisfies α
\mathcal{C}_α^+	C_w satisfies α and $S_w \neq \emptyset$
\mathcal{C}_β	C_w satisfies β
\mathcal{C}_β^+	C_w satisfies α and $S_w \neq \emptyset$
$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$	C_w satisfies α and β
$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}^+$	C_w satisfies α and β and $S_w \neq \emptyset$

Applications and Future Work

- ▶ Applications to Sen's critique of internal consistency of choice, e.g. his "epistemic value of the menu" examples.
- ▶ Adding other modal operators to the language, e.g. operators for knowledge or belief.



D. Ellsberg.

Risk, ambiguity, and the Savage axioms.

The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 75:643–669, 1961.



P. Gardenfors and N.E. Sahlin.

Unreliable probabilities, risk taking, and decision making.

Synthese, 53:361–386, 1982.



I. Gilboa and D. Schmeidler.

Maximin expected utility with non-unique prior.

Journal of Mathematical Economics, 18:141–153, 1989.



J. Helzner.

On the application of multiattribute utility theory to models of choice.

Theory and Decision, 66(4):301–315, 2009.



D. Kahneman and A. Tversky.

Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk.

Econometrica, 47(2):263–291, 1979.



H.E. Kyburg.

Bets and beliefs.

American Philosophical Quarterly, 5:63–78, 1968.



I. Levi.

On indeterminate probabilities.

Journal of Philosophy, 71:391–418, 1974.



I. Levi.

Hard Choices: Decision making under unresolved conflict.

Cambridge University Press, 1986.



R.D. Luce and H. Raiffa.

Games and Decisions: Introduction and Critical Survey.

Dover Publications, 1989.

The Dover edition is a republication of the 1957 work.



H. Moulin.

Choice functions over a finite set: a summary.

Social Choice and Welfare, 2:147–160, 1985.



A. Rubinstein.

Lecture Notes in Microeconomic Theory.

Princeton University Press, 2006.



L.J. Savage.

The Foundations of Statistics.

Dover Publications, 1972.

The Dover edition is a republication of the 1954 work.



T. Seidenfeld.

Decision theory without 'independence' or without 'ordering':
What is the difference?

Economics and Philosophy, 4:267–290, 1988.



A. Sen.

Choice functions and revealed preference.

Review of Economic Studies, 38(3):307–317, 1971.



A. Sen.

Rationality and Freedom.

Harvard University, 2002.